



HOW QUANTUM COMPUTING & ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IS TRANSFORMING DIGITAL IDENTITY

A SILICON TRUST ANTHOLOGY
STEVE ATKINS



HOW QUANTUM COMPUTING & ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IS TRANSFORMING DIGITAL IDENTITY

A SILICON TRUST ANTHOLOGY

STEVE ATKINS



© Silicon Trust 2023
© Krowne Communications 2023
www.silicontrust.org

No portion of this publication may be reproduced in part or in whole without the express permission, in writing, of the publisher. All product copyrights and trade- marks are the property of their respective owners. all product names, specifications, prices and other information are correct at the time of going to press but are subject to change without notice. The publisher takes no responsibility for false or misleading information or omissions.

Krowne Communications GmbH
Kurfürstendamm 194, 10707 Berlin, DE
www.krowne.tv

CONTENTS

Preface	5
1. Unleashing the Quantum Frontier: A Dive into Quantum Computing	6
2. Quantum Cryptography vs. Post-Quantum Cryptography: Securing Our Digital Future	13
3. Digital Identity and Its Transformative Impact	20
4. The Threat of Quantum Computing to Digital Identity	27
5. Unmasking the Threat: AI & the Future of Digital Identity	34
6. The Future of Digital Identity: Navigating Quantum Computing and Artificial Intelligence Threats	42
Glossary	52
Addendum: Bracing for a Quantum Leap	56

PREFACE

This anthology began as a series of six to seven individual articles, each aimed at delving into the intriguing realms of Quantum Computing and Artificial Intelligence and their profound influence on the realm of Digital Identity.

Originally, our plan was to release these articles sporadically on the Silicon Trust website or include them within the pages of our in-house magazine, 'The VAULT.' However, over time, we recognised the value of consolidating these articles and presenting them as a comprehensive digital reference publication for all Silicon Trust website visitors. While we will still publish them independently, this anthology is tailored for those who prefer downloading and consuming material at their convenience.

This digital anthology serves as an introduction, designed for those who are new to this captivating field and seeking a deeper understanding. You may notice recurring themes across different chapters, and that's intentional. It's meant to reinforce the overarching narrative and core concepts of the subject matter.

Given the breakneck pace of change and growth in these fields, it's no surprise that we expect a short shelf life for this anthology – such is the nature of working in the ever-evolving realm of technology. Nothing remains static; everything continues to grow and transform. But, for the moment, this is where our exploration begins.

Rest assured, it's not where it concludes.

Steve Atkins

1. UNLEASHING THE QUANTUM FRONTIER: A DIVE INTO QUANTUM COMPUTING

Quantum computing is not just a new chapter in the book of computation; it's an entirely different story. It harnesses the mind-bending principles of quantum mechanics to solve problems previously deemed intractable by classical computers. In this extensive exploration of quantum computing, we will delve into its core principles, theoretical underpinnings, practical applications, current state, and the exciting future it promises.

THE QUANTUM LEAP: QUANTUM BITS, SUPERPOSITION, ENTANGLEMENT AND GATES

To embark on our journey through quantum computing, we must first grasp the building block that makes it all possible: the qubit. While a classical bit represents either 0 or 1, a qubit can exist in a superposition

of states, effectively representing both 0 and 1 simultaneously. This fundamental property allows quantum computers to process an immense amount of information in parallel.

Superposition is at the heart of quantum computing. It enables qubits to exist in multiple states at once, exponentially increasing computational capacity. A qubit can be a 0, a 1, or any quantum superposition of these two states.

Another remarkable quantum property is entanglement. When two qubits are entangled, their states become interdependent, regardless of the distance between them. A change in one qubit instantly affects the other, a phenomenon that Albert Einstein famously called "spooky action at a distance."

Quantum computations are executed through quantum gates, similar to classical logic gates. These gates manipulate the quantum states of qubits. Sequences of these gates form quantum circuits, where complex computations take place.

QUANTUM ALGORITHMS AND THEIR PROMISE

Quantum computing is not just about faster calculations; it is about redefining the boundaries of what's computationally achievable. Several ground-breaking quantum algorithms have emerged, each with unique potential:

1. **Shor's Algorithm:** Shor's algorithm is a game-changer for cryptography. It can efficiently factor large numbers, a task that

classical computers struggle with. This means it poses a significant threat to widely-used encryption schemes, such as RSA.

2. Grover's Algorithm: Grover's algorithm is designed for searching unsorted databases. It can find a specific item in an unsorted list much faster than classical algorithms. This has profound implications for database search and optimisation problems.

3. Quantum Machine Learning: Quantum computing promises exponential speedup in machine learning tasks. Algorithms like the quantum support vector machine and quantum neural networks have the potential to revolutionise data analysis, pattern recognition, and optimisation.

4. Quantum Simulation: Quantum computers are uniquely suited for simulating complex quantum systems, such as molecules and materials. This has far-reaching implications for drug discovery, materials science, and understanding quantum phenomena.

5. Optimisation and Sampling: Quantum computers are poised to solve optimisation and sampling problems with remarkable efficiency. Applications span from portfolio optimisation in finance to supply chain management.

6. Quantum Cryptography: While quantum computing threatens classical cryptography, it also offers the promise of secure quantum communication through quantum key distribution (QKD). QKD leverages quantum principles to ensure the security of communication channels.

QUANTUM HARDWARE: THE NUTS AND BOLTS OF QUANTUM COMPUTING

Quantum processors are the heart of quantum computers, and they come in various forms:

1. **Superconducting Qubits:** Superconducting qubits are tiny circuits that can carry electrical current without resistance when cooled to extremely low temperatures. They are the foundation of many quantum processors, like those developed by IBM and Google.
2. **Trapped Ions:** In trapped ion quantum computers, ions are trapped and manipulated using electromagnetic fields. This approach is known for its long qubit coherence times, making it attractive for error-prone quantum systems.
3. **Topological Qubits:** Topological qubits are more resistant to errors and could become the basis for fault-tolerant quantum computers. Microsoft's approach to quantum computing, using topological qubits, holds great promise.

CHALLENGES IN QUANTUM COMPUTING

Despite the immense promise of quantum computing, significant challenges must be addressed:

1. **Decoherence:** Decoherence, the loss of quantum information due to environmental factors, remains a significant challenge. Qubits are

highly susceptible to noise, and their quantum states can rapidly decay. Extending qubit coherence times is a central research focus.

2. **Error Correction:** Quantum error correction is a complex process that requires a considerable number of physical qubits to encode a single logical qubit. Implementing robust error correction codes is a key challenge for scaling quantum computers.

3. **Scalability:** Building large-scale, fault-tolerant quantum computers is a formidable challenge. Overcoming scalability issues requires advances in qubit technology, error correction, and quantum interconnects.

4. **Quantum Supremacy:** Quantum supremacy, the point at which quantum computers outperform classical computers in certain tasks, is a subject of debate and research. Achieving quantum supremacy demonstrates the practical potential of quantum computing.

THE CURRENT STATE OF QUANTUM COMPUTING

The field of quantum computing is rapidly evolving, and notable progress has been made:

1. **Quantum Processors:** Leading companies, including IBM, Google, Rigetti, and Intel, have developed quantum processors with tens to hundreds of qubits. These processors are accessible through cloud platforms, allowing researchers and developers to experiment with quantum computations.

2. Quantum Cloud Platforms: Quantum cloud platforms like IBM Quantum Experience and Microsoft Azure Quantum provide access to quantum hardware, allowing researchers to develop and run quantum algorithms.

3. Quantum Algorithms in Action: Quantum algorithms, such as Shor's and Grover's, have been experimentally demonstrated in small-scale setups. They showcase the promise of quantum computing in tackling real-world problems.

4. Quantum Cryptography: Quantum cryptography, including quantum key distribution, has seen practical implementations. Companies are exploring quantum-secure communication methods to address classical cryptography vulnerabilities.

THE FUTURE OF QUANTUM COMPUTING

The journey of quantum computing is just beginning, and the future is filled with promise. Here's a glimpse of what lies ahead:

1. Quantum Advantage: As quantum hardware and algorithms advance, we are likely to witness quantum computers outperform classical computers in specific domains. This milestone will highlight the practicality of quantum computing.

2. Quantum Error Correction: Progress in quantum error correction will make large-scale, fault-tolerant quantum computers a reality. This will open the door to solving complex problems across various industries.

3. Quantum Software Ecosystem: An ecosystem of quantum software and applications will flourish, offering solutions for optimisation, cryptography, machine learning, and simulations.

4. Quantum Education and Workforce: Quantum computing will require a skilled workforce. Educational programs and initiatives will emerge to train quantum scientists, engineers, and developers.

5. Quantum-Safe Cryptography: In response to the threat posed by quantum computers to classical cryptography, the development and implementation of quantum-safe cryptographic techniques will become a priority.

6. Quantum Impact on Industries: Quantum computing will have a transformative impact on industries such as finance, healthcare, logistics, and materials science. It will enable innovations, accelerate discoveries, and optimise processes.

THE QUANTUM REVOLUTION

Quantum computing is more than just a technological advance; it is a revolution in the way we approach and solve complex problems. Its potential extends across scientific research, industry, and society at large.

As the field of quantum computing continues to grow and mature, it promises to unlock unprecedented computational power and reshape the boundaries of what is possible in the digital age.

Quantum computing is the frontier where science fiction meets reality, and its impact will be felt for generations to come.

2. QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY VS. POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY: SECURING OUR DIGITAL FUTURE

In an era of rapid technological advancements, the age-old struggle between encryption and decryption has taken on a new dimension. The advent of quantum computing has brought both excitement and trepidation to the field of cryptography. Quantum computers have the potential to crack widely used encryption methods, rendering traditional cryptography vulnerable.

In response, a new branch of cryptography, known as post-quantum cryptography, is emerging to address these challenges and ensure our digital security. In this comprehensive exploration, we will delve into the core principles of quantum cryptography, the threat posed by quantum computers, the emergence of post-quantum cryptography, and the implications for the future of secure communication.

QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY: THE QUANTUM ADVANTAGE

Quantum cryptography is rooted in the principles of quantum mechanics. It harnesses the unique properties of quantum bits, or qubits, such as superposition and entanglement, to create cryptographic protocols that offer unprecedented security.

At the heart of quantum cryptography lies Quantum Key Distribution (QKD). QKD allows two parties to securely exchange encryption keys, preventing eavesdroppers from intercepting the key without detection. The most famous QKD protocol is the BBM92 protocol, developed by Charles Bennett and Gilles Brassard in 1992.

The security of quantum cryptography protocols is founded on the principles of Heisenberg's uncertainty principle. Attempting to measure a qubit in superposition disturbs its state, making it impossible for eavesdroppers to gain any information without being detected.

The BB84 protocol, also known as the quantum coin toss, is a fundamental quantum cryptography protocol. It enables two parties to exchange a random, secret key over a potentially insecure channel. Any attempt by an eavesdropper to intercept the key will disturb the quantum states, alerting the legitimate parties to the breach.

Quantum cryptography has practical applications in secure communication, ensuring the confidentiality and integrity of transmitted data. It is used in secure financial transactions, government communications, and even in protecting critical infrastructure.

THE QUANTUM THREAT: SHOR'S ALGORITHM

While quantum cryptography promises to enhance security, the advent of quantum computers brings a significant threat to traditional encryption methods. Shor's algorithm, developed by Peter Shor in 1994, is a quantum algorithm that can efficiently factor large numbers.

RSA encryption, a widely used public-key cryptography system, relies on the difficulty of factoring large numbers. Shor's algorithm can factor large numbers exponentially faster than classical computers, posing a severe threat to RSA encryption.

The potential decryption of RSA keys by quantum computers has raised concerns about the future of public-key cryptography. The security of many online transactions, communications, and data storage systems relies on RSA encryption.

POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY: FORTIFYING OUR DIGITAL ARMOUR

Recognising the looming threat of quantum computers, the field of post-quantum cryptography has emerged. Post-quantum cryptography aims to develop cryptographic systems that are secure against both classical and quantum attacks.

One of the leading candidates in post-quantum cryptography is lattice-based cryptography. It relies on the mathematical structure of lattices to create secure encryption schemes. Lattice-based cryptography offers a high degree of security and is considered quantum-resistant.

Code-based cryptography is another approach. It uses error-correcting codes to create secure encryption systems. Even if quantum computers can solve the hidden subgroup problem, a core component of many quantum attacks, they would still struggle to break code-based encryption.

Hash-based cryptography is a robust post-quantum approach. It relies on one-way functions and hash functions to secure data. These cryptographic systems offer a level of security that is believed to be resistant to quantum attacks.

Multivariate polynomial cryptography is based on the difficulty of solving systems of multivariate polynomial equations. Quantum computers would face formidable challenges in breaking this form of encryption.

Post-quantum cryptography, while promising, presents several challenges. It must not only provide robust security against quantum attacks but also be efficient, practical, and ready for real-world implementation. Balancing these factors is a complex task.

NIST'S POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY STANDARDISATION EFFORT

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) in the United States has taken a pioneering role in post-quantum cryptography. NIST's Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardisation Project is an ongoing effort to solicit, evaluate, and standardise quantum-resistant cryptographic algorithms.

NIST's project has attracted a wide range of post-quantum cryptography candidates, including lattice-based, code-based, hash-based, and multivariate polynomial approaches. This diversity reflects the complexity of finding a post-quantum solution.

NIST is currently in the third round of its standardisation project, where it has selected a smaller set of candidates for further evaluation. The selection process is rigorous, focusing on security, efficiency, and practicality.

NIST's efforts in post-quantum cryptography standardisation will significantly influence the future of cryptographic practices across industries, governments, and organisations. The standardised algorithms will form the basis of secure communication in the quantum era.

PREPARING FOR THE QUANTUM WORLD

As quantum computers advance, organisations and individuals must prepare for the post-quantum era. Transitioning to quantum-safe cryptographic methods is essential to protect sensitive data and secure communications.

Key management is a crucial aspect of preparing for the quantum world. Organisations must develop strategies for securely storing and distributing cryptographic keys. Quantum key distribution (QKD) is one of the promising quantum-safe methods for key exchange.

Security awareness and education are essential for preparing for the quantum threat. Educating users about the implications of quantum computing on data security and privacy is vital.

Organisations and governments must invest in the development and deployment of quantum-resistant cryptographic solutions. This involves not only updating encryption methods but also ensuring that hardware and software are ready for the post-quantum era.

Quantum-resistant cryptography is a dynamic field that requires collaboration among researchers, cryptographers, governments, and organisations. Ongoing research is essential to stay ahead of emerging threats.

SECURING OUR QUANTUM FUTURE

The intersection of quantum computing and cryptography presents a remarkable challenge and opportunity. Quantum cryptography harnesses the principles of quantum mechanics to create secure communication methods.

However, the advent of quantum computers threatens traditional encryption schemes. In response, post-quantum cryptography is emerging as a robust defence against quantum attacks.

The field of quantum cryptography is at an exciting juncture, with practical implementations and growing relevance in secure communication. On the other hand, post-quantum cryptography is a critical field of study that will significantly influence the future of digital security.

As we move into the quantum era, the importance of preparing for quantum threats cannot be overstated. The transition to quantum-safe cryptographic methods, key management, security awareness, quantum-resistant implementations, and collaboration are essential elements of securing our digital future.

The world of cryptography is evolving, and the key to success lies in adapting to these changes, staying vigilant, and working collectively to ensure the continued security and privacy of our digital lives.

3. DIGITAL IDENTITY AND ITS TRANSFORMATIVE IMPACT

In an era where the digital world increasingly merges with our physical lives, the concept of digital identity has become pivotal. It is not just a tool to access online services or verify our existence; it's a reflection of our presence in the digital realm.

In this exploration, we'll delve into the fundamental aspects of digital identity, its current state, and the transformative impact it is likely to have on our lives in the future.

DEFINING DIGITAL IDENTITY

At its core, digital identity is the digital representation of an individual's attributes, characteristics, and actions. It encompasses various aspects:

- 1. Authentication and Authorisation:** Digital identity enables authentication - verifying that a user is who they claim to be - and authorisation, which determines what a user is allowed to do within a given system.
- 2. Personal Data:** It includes personal data such as name, date of birth, biometrics, and other identifiers.

3. **Online Behaviour:** Digital identity can also be a reflection of one's online behaviour, encompassing their browsing history, social media activity, and online interactions.

4. **Digital Signatures:** In the realm of cybersecurity and transactions, digital signatures and cryptographic keys are essential components of digital identity.

DIGITAL IDENTITY IN OUR DAILY LIVES

Digital identity is not limited to our virtual presence. It's increasingly intertwined with our daily activities, both online and offline:

1. **Online Services:** We use our digital identity to access an array of online services, from email to social media, online shopping, and e-government services.

2. **Financial Transactions:** Our digital identity is crucial for conducting financial transactions, from online banking to making e-commerce purchases.

3. **Healthcare:** Digital identity is used in healthcare for accessing medical records, telemedicine, and prescription services.

4. **Travel and Immigration:** Passports and immigration documents have evolved into e-passports with digital identity features.

5. **Smart Cities:** In smart cities, digital identity plays a central role in enabling citizens to access public services and facilities efficiently.

6. **Internet of Things (IoT):** In an increasingly connected world, our digital identity is used to control and interact with IoT devices in our homes and workplaces.

THE CURRENT STATE OF DIGITAL IDENTITY

Our digital identity is currently spread across various platforms, services, and devices. However, this fragmentation poses several challenges:

1. **Privacy Concerns:** The collection and sharing of personal data for digital identity verification has raised significant privacy concerns. Users often have limited control over their data.
2. **Security Risks:** Digital identity systems are vulnerable to breaches and cyberattacks. A compromised digital identity can lead to identity theft and fraud.
3. **User Experience:** Managing multiple usernames and passwords across different services can be cumbersome and frustrating.
4. **Lack of Interoperability:** Digital identity systems often lack interoperability, making it difficult for users to seamlessly move between different online services.
5. **Identity Verification:** Verifying the true identity of individuals online can be challenging, leading to identity fraud and account takeovers.

THE FUTURE OF DIGITAL IDENTITY

The future of digital identity is poised for transformation, driven by technological advancements and evolving societal needs:

1. **Self-Sovereign Identity (SSI).** Self-sovereign identity is a concept that puts individuals in control of their own digital identity. Instead of relying on centralised identity providers, users can securely manage their digital identity attributes, granting access to only the necessary data for each interaction. This model empowers individuals with greater privacy and control.
2. **Blockchain and Decentralised Identity.** Blockchain technology is increasingly being used to create decentralised identity systems. These systems leverage the security and immutability of blockchain to provide a tamper-proof, user-controlled digital identity.
3. **Biometrics and Multi-factor Authentication (MFA).** The use of biometrics, such as fingerprints and facial recognition, along with multi-factor authentication, is becoming more prevalent. These methods enhance security and improve the user experience.
4. **Password-less Authentication.** The move toward password-less authentication methods, such as biometrics and cryptographic keys, is expected to improve the security and convenience of digital identity verification.
5. **Identity Verification Services.** Third-party identity verification services are emerging to provide more robust and accurate identity verification for online transactions and interactions. These services use a combination of personal data, biometrics, and artificial intelligence for verification.

6. **Mobile Identity.** As smartphones become an integral part of our lives, mobile identity is becoming a central component of digital identity. Mobile devices can store digital identity credentials securely and offer convenient, portable access to online services.

7. **Digital Identity Wallets.** Digital identity wallets or apps are being developed to help individuals manage their digital identity attributes securely. These wallets can store and selectively share personal information as needed.

THE IMPACT ON SOCIETY

The evolving landscape of digital identity has far-reaching implications for society:

1. **Privacy and Control.** Individuals will have more control over their personal data and digital identity, enhancing privacy and reducing the risk of data breaches.

2. **Security.** Improved digital identity systems will significantly reduce identity theft and fraudulent activities online.

3. **Access to Services.** Digital identity systems that are more user-friendly and interoperable will provide easier access to a wide range of online services.

4. **Trust and Security.** Institutions and businesses will be able to build greater trust with their users, ensuring secure and reliable online interactions.

5. **Inclusion.** Digital identity has the potential to provide a digital identity to those who lack traditional forms of identification, helping to reduce the digital divide.

6. **Digital Economy.** The digital economy will thrive with secure and efficient digital identity solutions, facilitating e-commerce, online financial transactions, and global trade.

CHALLENGES AHEAD

While the future of digital identity holds tremendous promise, several challenges must be addressed:

1. **Security and Privacy Trade-offs.** Balancing security and privacy in digital identity systems is a delicate challenge. As identity systems become more secure, there is a risk of overreaching surveillance and invasion of privacy.

2. **Standardisation.** Ensuring interoperability and standardisation across digital identity systems is essential for their widespread adoption.

3. **Legal and Ethical Considerations.** The legal and ethical aspects of digital identity, including data protection regulations and ethical use of personal data, will require ongoing attention and regulation.

4. **Digital Inclusion.** Ensuring that digital identity systems are inclusive and accessible to all, including marginalised populations, is crucial for reducing societal disparities.

5. Cybersecurity Threats. As digital identity systems evolve, they will become attractive targets for cybercriminals. Enhancing cybersecurity measures is imperative to protect these systems.

THE IMPACT OF DIGITAL IDENTITY

The evolution of digital identity is not just a technological development; it is a societal transformation. It will redefine how we interact with digital services, protect our personal data, and navigate the online world.

As digital identity systems become more secure, private, and user-centric, they have the potential to unlock new possibilities in the digital realm and bring greater security and convenience to our daily lives. However, addressing the challenges and ethical considerations will be critical to ensuring a future where digital identity benefits all of humanity.

4. THE THREAT OF QUANTUM COMPUTING TO DIGITAL IDENTITY

In the ever-evolving landscape of cybersecurity and digital identity, quantum computing represents a double-edged sword. While this emerging technology holds immense potential for various fields, including cryptography, it simultaneously poses a significant threat to digital identity and the security of our online presence.

In this current exploration, we will delve into the intersection of quantum computing and digital identity, understanding the vulnerabilities that quantum computers introduce, and exploring the strategies to safeguard our digital identities in the quantum age.

THE QUANTUM COMPUTING ADVANTAGE

Before we delve into the threats posed by quantum computing to digital identity, it's crucial to understand why quantum computers are different and what advantages they bring to the table.

Exponential Speed: Quantum computers use qubits that can exist in multiple states simultaneously, enabling them to perform certain

computations exponentially faster than classical computers. This speed poses both promise and peril for digital identity.

Shor's Algorithm: One of the most notorious quantum algorithms is Shor's algorithm, developed by Peter Shor in 1994. It has the capability to efficiently factor large numbers, a task that classical computers struggle with. This poses a significant threat to widely-used encryption schemes that rely on the difficulty of factoring large numbers, such as RSA (Rivest–Shamir–Adleman) encryption.

Grover's Algorithm: Grover's algorithm, another quantum algorithm, is designed for searching unsorted databases. It can find a specific item in an unsorted list significantly faster than classical algorithms. This has potential implications for cracking hashed passwords, a crucial aspect of digital identity security.

Quantum Key Distribution: While quantum computing threatens classical cryptography, it also offers the promise of quantum key distribution (QKD). QKD leverages quantum principles to ensure the security of communication channels. Quantum-safe digital identity systems may utilise QKD for enhanced security.

DIGITAL IDENTITY IN THE QUANTUM AGE

Digital identity is the cornerstone of our online existence. It encompasses various aspects of our virtual lives, including personal data, online behaviour, and the means by which we authenticate ourselves on digital platforms. It is used for accessing online services, securing financial transactions, managing healthcare records, and more. In a world where digital identity is pivotal, the impact of quantum computing cannot be understated.

1. Vulnerability of Encryption Schemes: Many digital identity systems and secure online services rely on encryption for data protection. Quantum computers, with their ability to efficiently factor large numbers, threaten these encryption methods. Once quantum computers capable of running Shor's algorithm at scale are available, widely-used encryption schemes will become vulnerable.

2. Impact on Password Security: Passwords are the most common means of authentication in digital identity systems. Quantum computing introduces the possibility of cracking hashed passwords and encrypted data exponentially faster, posing a severe threat to password security.

3. Privacy Concerns: Digital identity often involves the collection and sharing of personal data for identity verification. Quantum computing adds a layer of complexity to the privacy concerns surrounding digital identity. As encryption methods become more vulnerable, the security of personal data is at risk.

4. Security Breaches: Quantum computing opens the door to more sophisticated cyberattacks. Malicious actors with access to quantum computers could potentially breach digital identity systems, leading to identity theft, data breaches, and other forms of cybercrime.

5. Cryptographic Transformation: The advent of quantum computing necessitates a transformation of cryptographic methods to remain secure in the quantum age. Digital identity systems must adapt to utilise quantum-resistant encryption methods and security protocols.

QUANTUM-RESISTANT DIGITAL IDENTITY

In light of the quantum computing threat, the development of quantum-resistant digital identity systems is paramount. These systems aim to ensure that individuals' online identities remain secure and their personal data protected in a quantum-enabled world. Here are several strategies and technologies that can be employed to achieve quantum-resistant digital identity:

- 1. Post-Quantum Cryptography:** The field of post-quantum cryptography is focused on developing encryption methods that are secure against both classical and quantum attacks. These cryptographic algorithms, such as lattice-based cryptography and code-based cryptography, are designed to withstand the capabilities of quantum computers.
- 2. Quantum Key Distribution (QKD):** Quantum key distribution is a secure method for distributing cryptographic keys, which can be used for authentication and encryption. Implementing QKD in digital identity systems enhances security and safeguards against quantum attacks.
- 3. Multi-factor Authentication (MFA):** MFA adds an additional layer of security by requiring users to provide multiple forms of authentication before accessing digital identity systems. Combining something the user knows (password), something the user has (smartphone or token), and something the user is (biometric data) strengthens identity verification.
- 4. Biometrics and Behavioural Analysis:** Biometric authentication, such as fingerprint recognition and facial recognition, is a powerful tool for digital identity. Behavioural analysis, which involves monitoring user behaviour for anomalies, can also enhance security.

Quantum-resistant digital identity systems may utilise these methods more extensively.

5. Identity Verification Services: Third-party identity verification services, powered by advanced AI and machine learning algorithms, can offer robust identity verification. These services use a combination of personal data, biometrics, and behavioural analysis to ensure that the digital identity is legitimate.

6. Password-less Authentication: Moving away from traditional password-based authentication to password-less methods, such as biometrics, cryptographic keys, and QR codes, can strengthen the security of digital identity systems.

7. Blockchain-Based Identity: Blockchain technology offers tamper-resistant and decentralised identity systems. Blockchain-based digital identity solutions can provide enhanced security and user control.

8. Enhanced Privacy Protection: As quantum computing threatens encryption, it's essential to enhance the privacy protection mechanisms of digital identity systems. Implementing privacy-preserving technologies, such as zero-knowledge proofs and differential privacy, can help safeguard user data.

PREPARING FOR THE QUANTUM THREAT

The quantum threat to digital identity requires a proactive approach from individuals, organisations, and governments:

1. **Quantum-Safe Transition:** Organisations and governments must begin the transition to quantum-resistant digital identity systems. This involves updating cryptographic methods, adopting post-quantum cryptography, and ensuring that hardware and software are ready for the quantum age.
2. **Key Management:** Robust key management is essential. This involves secure storage and distribution of cryptographic keys, emphasising quantum-safe methods.
3. **Security Awareness:** Educating users about the implications of quantum computing on digital identity is crucial. Awareness programs can help individuals understand the risks and make informed decisions.
4. **Collaboration and Research:** Quantum-resistant digital identity requires collaboration among researchers, cryptographers, governments, and organisations. Ongoing research is essential to stay ahead of emerging threats.
5. **Legislative and Regulatory Measures:** Governments and regulatory bodies should consider enacting legislation and regulations that promote the development and adoption of quantum-resistant digital identity systems.
6. **International Cooperation:** The quantum threat is a global concern. International cooperation is vital for setting standards and ensuring the security of digital identity systems at a global scale.

EMBRACE THE QUANTUM

Quantum computing holds the promise of revolutionising various fields, but it also presents significant challenges, particularly in the realm of digital identity. As quantum computers advance, the vulnerabilities in classical encryption methods become more apparent, raising concerns about the security and privacy of digital identity.

To safeguard our digital identities in the quantum age, we must adopt quantum-resistant digital identity systems, embrace post-quantum cryptography, and implement enhanced security measures. By taking these steps, we can navigate the quantum threat and ensure that our digital identities remain secure and reliable in the face of emerging technologies.

5. UNMASKING THE THREAT: AI & THE FUTURE OF DIGITAL IDENTITY

In today's hyper-connected world, the concept of digital identity has evolved from a mere convenience to an indispensable part of our daily lives. As we embrace the digital age, our identities are intricately tied to a complex web of online interactions, from social media accounts and online banking to e-commerce and government services.

However, as we continue to digitize our lives, a growing concern emerges—the potential threat posed by artificial intelligence (AI) to our digital identities. This article delves into the technical intricacies of AI's threat to digital identity, backed by specific examples and case studies.

AI'S ROLE IN DIGITAL IDENTITY

AI technologies have advanced rapidly in recent years, and their impact on various sectors, including cybersecurity, has been profound. In the context of digital identity, AI plays a multifaceted role, both as a solution and a potential threat.

Biometric Authentication: AI has revolutionised biometric authentication, enhancing the security of digital identities. Facial

recognition, fingerprint analysis, and voice recognition technologies powered by AI have made it difficult for unauthorised users to breach digital security.

Behavioural Analysis: AI-driven systems monitor and analyse user behaviour to detect anomalies. These behavioural biometrics approach adds an extra layer of security, helping to prevent unauthorised access to sensitive digital assets.

Personalised Services: AI-driven recommendation engines and personalised services use data from digital identities to enhance user experiences. This results in increased engagement, improved service quality, and, often, a deeper sense of attachment to one's digital identity.

THE THREATS POSED BY AI

While AI bolsters the security of digital identity in many ways, it also raises legitimate concerns. Here are some key areas where AI poses a threat to digital identity, complete with specific (for the moment fictional) examples:

1. Deepfake Vulnerabilities. Deepfake technology, driven by AI, has emerged as one of the most insidious threats to digital identity. Malicious actors can use AI algorithms to create convincingly realistic fake videos, audio recordings, or images, thereby putting unsuspecting individuals at risk. A well-known example is the case of deepfake audio of a CEO instructing a fraudulent wire transfer, leading to significant financial loss.

Deepfake creation relies on a combination of generative adversarial networks (GANs) and deep neural networks, enabling attackers to

manipulate digital content with unparalleled precision. Such deepfakes can damage reputations, commit fraud, and compromise the integrity of digital identities.

2. Spear Phishing and Social Engineering. AI-powered spear phishing attacks have become increasingly sophisticated and targeted. By analysing vast datasets, AI can craft highly convincing messages or impersonate known contacts. For instance, an AI-driven spear phishing attack might impersonate a colleague, seeking confidential data or login credentials.

AI-enhanced chatbots can engage in seemingly genuine conversations, adapting to user responses. This is illustrated in the case of a chatbot impersonating a tech support agent to trick users into revealing personal information, which can be used to compromise digital IDs.

3. Predictive Analysis and Behaviour Profiling. AI's ability to analyse vast amounts of user data can be exploited to construct detailed profiles of individuals, known as behavioural biometrics. Such profiles can reveal sensitive personal information or patterns of behaviour, which attackers can then manipulate or misuse.

For example, AI can predict a user's daily habits, online preferences, or even psychological vulnerabilities. Attackers may use this information to target users with customised phishing campaigns, leveraging insights derived from predictive analysis.

4. Data Breach and Identity Theft. AI plays a dual role in data breaches, both as a security measure and a threat. AI systems are increasingly used to detect and mitigate breaches. However, malicious actors are leveraging AI's capabilities to evade detection, exploit vulnerabilities, and steal data, including sensitive digital identity information.

One notable case involved the use of AI to bypass security measures and gain unauthorised access to a healthcare database. The attackers

extracted personal information, including social security numbers, posing a severe risk to the digital identities of thousands of individuals.

5. Sophistication of AI Tools. AI tools have become increasingly sophisticated, capable of mimicking human behaviour, generating convincing deepfakes, and automating attacks. As AI technology continues to advance, the threat it poses to digital ID grows.

The seriousness of the threat is further exacerbated by the fact that attackers are increasingly using AI to enhance their malicious activities. Furthermore, the volume of sensitive personal data available online, often used to verify digital identities, provides ample opportunities for exploitation.

MITIGATING THE THREATS

To counter the threat, organisations are continually developing more advanced security measures, including AI-based solutions to detect and prevent AI-driven attacks. Multi-factor authentication, robust encryption, and user education are essential components of defence against AI-based threats to digital ID.

Protecting your digital ID against the threat of malicious AI requires a multifaceted approach that combines advanced technology, security best practices, and user education. Here are several key strategies to safeguard your digital identity:

1. Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA). Implement MFA whenever possible. MFA requires users to provide multiple forms of verification before gaining access to an account or system, making it much harder for malicious actors to breach your digital identity.

2. **Deepfake Detection Algorithms:** Develop and deploy advanced deepfake detection algorithms that can identify manipulated media content. These algorithms often leverage image or video forensics and machine learning techniques.
3. **AI-Powered Threat Detection:** Utilise AI-driven threat detection systems capable of identifying malicious AI-driven attacks, such as spear phishing attempts and behaviour profiling.
4. **Privacy-Preserving AI:** Explore privacy-preserving AI techniques that allow data analysis while protecting sensitive user information, thereby reducing the risk of identity exposure.
5. **User Behaviour Analytics:** Implement user behaviour analytics tools that can identify anomalies and unauthorised access, safeguarding digital IDs against AI-driven attacks.
6. **Regular updates and patch systems.** Keep your software, operating systems, and security tools up-to-date to address known vulnerabilities. Malicious AI often targets outdated systems.
7. **Leverage Blockchain Technology.** Consider using blockchain-based solutions for digital identity verification, as they offer a high level of security and decentralisation.
8. **Monitor and audit access and review and update policies.** Continuously monitor user access to sensitive data and audit access logs for suspicious activities. Automated AI-driven systems can help with real-time detection of anomalies. Familiarise yourself with privacy regulations and data protection laws, such as GDPR or CCPA, and ensure compliance with them in your digital identity practices. Keep your organisation's security policies and procedures up-to-date to address evolving AI threats. Regularly review and revise these policies as needed.

The technical solutions and vigilance needed to combat these challenges are critical to safeguarding the digital identities of individuals and organisations alike. Balancing the benefits of AI with the need for safeguarding digital identities is an ongoing challenge that must be met with a combination of technology, regulation, and ethical considerations.

COULD AI FOOL A DIGITAL ID VERIFICATION CHECK?

AI has the potential to seriously impact digital ID verification checks, but it's important to distinguish between different aspects of digital ID verification and the capabilities of AI. Here are some key considerations:

Facial Recognition: AI-driven facial recognition technology has advanced significantly and can accurately match a face to a registered digital ID or image. However, it is not fool-proof and can be tricked in some cases. For instance, determined attackers have used high-quality 3D printed masks or images to spoof facial recognition systems.

Behavioural Biometrics: AI can analyse a user's behavioural biometrics, such as typing patterns or mouse movements, to verify their identity. While these systems are robust, a sophisticated attacker who closely mimics the legitimate user's behaviour could potentially bypass them.

Document Verification: AI-based document verification systems are highly effective at identifying forged or altered documents. However, if

an attacker uses sophisticated methods to create convincing fake documents, these systems may struggle.

Voice Recognition: AI can be used for voice recognition, which is generally reliable. But like facial recognition, attackers can use synthesised or manipulated audio to potentially fool these systems.

Machine Learning Attacks: Attackers can also leverage AI and machine learning to learn and adapt to security measures over time. This makes it a constant cat-and-mouse game between those developing AI for verification and those trying to fool it.

It's important to note that AI's effectiveness in digital ID verification largely depends on the specific technology and the resources available to both the defenders and attackers. In practice, many digital ID verification systems use multiple layers of security, combining biometrics, document checks, behavioural analysis, and more to minimise the risk of impersonation.

To bolster security and reduce the risk of AI-based attacks, organisations often employ additional factors like multi-factor authentication (MFA) that include something the user knows (e.g., a password), something the user has (e.g., a physical token or mobile device), and something the user is (biometrics).

While AI has the potential to seriously impact digital ID verification, the ongoing advancement of security measures and AI detection systems makes it challenging for malicious actors to consistently and easily fool these checks. However, the threat is ever-evolving, and continuous improvements in AI-driven security are essential to staying one step ahead of potential threats.

THE CHANGING FACE OF AI

Artificial intelligence is a double-edged sword when it comes to digital identity. While it enhances security measures in many ways, it also presents new threats and challenges. As we navigate this evolving landscape, it is imperative for individuals and organisations to stay vigilant, adapt to emerging threats, and actively participate in the responsible development of AI technologies to ensure a secure and resilient digital identity ecosystem.

In summary, AI poses a significant and evolving threat to digital ID due to its ability to mimic human behaviour, generate convincing fake media, and automate attacks. As AI technology advances, the threat will continue to grow, making it imperative for individuals and organisations to remain vigilant and invest in robust security measures to protect their digital identities.

6. THE FUTURE OF DIGITAL IDENTITY: NAVIGATING QUANTUM COMPUTING AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE THREATS

In the ever-evolving digital landscape, the concept of digital identity has become increasingly integral to our lives. It plays a pivotal role in securing our online interactions, financial transactions, and access to services.

However, as we move forward, two emerging technological forces, quantum computing and artificial intelligence (AI), pose significant threats to digital identity. In this extensive exploration, we will examine the existing challenges, the potential risks posed by quantum computing and AI, and the strategies to secure the future of digital identity in the face of these evolving threats.

THE DIGITAL IDENTITY LANDSCAPE

Digital identity, in its essence, is a representation of who we are in the digital world. It encompasses various elements:

1. **Personal Data:** Digital identity includes personal data such as name, date of birth, biometrics, and other attributes that confirm our identity.
2. **Online Behaviour:** It also encompasses our online behaviour, including browsing history, social media interactions, and digital footprints.
3. **Authentication and Authorisation:** Digital identity is essential for authentication, which verifies who we claim to be, and authorisation, which defines what actions we can perform within digital systems.
4. **Digital Signatures:** In cybersecurity and secure transactions, digital identity relies on digital signatures and cryptographic keys.

CHALLENGES IN THE DIGITAL IDENTITY LANDSCAPE

Before we delve into the threats posed by quantum computing and AI, it's essential to understand the challenges that digital identity faces today:

1. **Privacy Concerns:** The collection and sharing of personal data for identity verification have raised significant privacy concerns. Users often have limited control over their data.
2. **Security Risks:** Digital identity systems are vulnerable to breaches and cyberattacks. A compromised digital identity can lead to identity theft, fraud, and other cybercrimes.
3. **User Experience:** Managing multiple usernames and passwords across different services can be cumbersome and frustrating for users.
4. **Lack of Interoperability:** Digital identity systems often lack interoperability, making it difficult for users to seamlessly move between different online services.
5. **Identity Verification Challenges:** Verifying the true identity of individuals online can be a significant challenge, leading to identity fraud and account takeovers.

Quantum computing, a technological marvel, introduces new dimensions of computation with potential risks for digital identity.

THE QUANTUM ADVANTAGE

Quantum computers leverage the peculiar properties of quantum bits, or qubits, which can exist in multiple states simultaneously. This quantum advantage introduces several concerns for digital identity:

1. **Exponential Speed:** Quantum computers can perform certain tasks exponentially faster than classical computers. While this speed

promises efficiency, it also poses risks for digital identity, as it can expedite malicious activities.

2. Shor's Algorithm: Shor's algorithm, a quantum algorithm developed by Peter Shor, has the capability to efficiently factor large numbers, a task that classical computers struggle with. This algorithm poses a significant threat to widely-used encryption schemes that rely on the difficulty of factoring large numbers, such as RSA encryption.

3. Grover's Algorithm: Grover's algorithm is designed for searching unsorted databases. It can find a specific item in an unsorted list much faster than classical algorithms. This poses a threat to hashed passwords and encrypted data used in digital identity systems.

4. Quantum Key Distribution: While quantum computing threatens classical cryptography, it also offers the promise of quantum key distribution (QKD). QKD leverages quantum principles to ensure the security of communication channels. Quantum-safe digital identity systems may utilise QKD for enhanced security.

VULNERABILITIES IN ENCRYPTION SCHEMES

Many digital identity systems and secure online services rely on encryption for data protection. Quantum computing introduces the possibility of efficiently cracking encryption schemes. Once quantum computers capable of running Shor's algorithm at scale become available, widely-used encryption methods will become vulnerable.

Impact on Password Security

Passwords are the most common means of authentication in digital identity systems. Quantum computing introduces the potential to crack hashed passwords and encrypted data exponentially faster, posing a severe threat to password security.

Privacy Concerns

Digital identity often involves the collection and sharing of personal data for identity verification. Quantum computing adds a layer of complexity to the privacy concerns surrounding digital identity. As encryption methods become more vulnerable, the security of personal data is at risk.

Security Breaches

Quantum computing opens the door to more sophisticated cyberattacks. Malicious actors with access to quantum computers could potentially breach digital identity systems, leading to identity theft, data breaches, and other forms of cybercrime.

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE THREAT TO DIGITAL IDENTITY

Artificial intelligence (AI) is another technological advancement that poses challenges to digital identity. While AI holds significant promise, AI can be employed to conduct advanced authentication attacks, such as:

Brute Force Attacks: AI can be used to enhance brute force attacks, attempting a large number of password combinations to crack authentication systems.

Social Engineering Attacks: AI can be utilised to craft convincing social engineering messages and tactics to manipulate users into divulging their credentials.

Deepfakes and Biometric Spoofing: AI can create convincing deepfake videos or images to spoof biometric authentication systems, potentially granting unauthorised access.

Behavioural Analysis for Targeted Attacks: AI can perform extensive behavioural analysis to identify patterns and vulnerabilities in digital identity systems. This analysis can lead to more precise and targeted attacks, enhancing the risk of identity breaches.

AI-Enhanced Phishing Attacks: Phishing attacks, already a prevalent threat to digital identity, can become more sophisticated when AI is employed to craft convincing and personalised phishing emails or messages. AI can analyse and exploit personal data to create tailored attacks.

Privacy Concerns and Data Exploitation: AI systems often rely on large datasets, which can raise privacy concerns when they contain personal data. The exploitation of these datasets can compromise digital identity and privacy.

SAFEGUARDING THE FUTURE OF DIGITAL IDENTITY

Securing the future of digital identity requires a proactive and multi-faceted approach that addresses the threats posed by quantum computing and AI:

1. Post-Quantum Cryptography. The field of post-quantum cryptography focuses on developing encryption methods that are secure against both classical and quantum attacks. These cryptographic algorithms, such as lattice-based cryptography and code-based cryptography, are designed to withstand the capabilities of quantum computers.

2. Quantum Key Distribution (QKD). Quantum key distribution is a secure method for distributing cryptographic keys, which can be used for authentication and encryption. Implementing QKD in digital identity systems enhances security and safeguards against quantum attacks.

3. Multi-factor Authentication (MFA). MFA adds an additional layer of security by requiring users to provide multiple forms of authentication before accessing digital identity systems. Combining something the user knows (password), something the user has (smartphone or token), and something the user is (biometric data) strengthens identity verification.

4. Biometrics and Behavioural Analysis. Biometric authentication, such as fingerprint recognition and facial recognition, is a powerful tool for digital identity. Behavioural analysis, which involves monitoring user behaviour for anomalies, can also enhance security. Quantum-resistant digital identity systems may utilise these methods more extensively.

5. Identity Verification Services. Third-party identity verification services, powered by advanced AI and machine learning algorithms, can offer robust identity verification. These services use a combination of personal data, biometrics, and behavioural analysis to ensure that the digital identity is legitimate.

- 6. Password-less Authentication.** Moving away from traditional password-based authentication to password-less methods, such as biometrics, cryptographic keys, and QR codes, can strengthen the security of digital identity systems.
- 7. Blockchain-Based Identity.** Blockchain technology offers tamper-resistant and decentralised identity systems. Blockchain-based digital identity solutions can provide enhanced security and user control.
- 8. Enhanced Privacy Protection.** As quantum computing threatens encryption, it's essential to enhance the privacy protection mechanisms of digital identity systems. Implementing privacy-preserving technologies, such as zero-knowledge proofs and differential privacy, can help safeguard user data.
- 9. AI-Powered Security Solutions.** Leverage AI in cybersecurity solutions to detect and respond to advanced threats. AI can be used to monitor network traffic and user behaviour, identifying anomalies and potential threats to digital identity.
- 10. User Education and Awareness.** Educating users about the threats posed by quantum computing and AI to digital identity is crucial. Awareness programs can help individuals understand the risks and make informed decisions regarding their online behaviour and security practices.
- 11. Legislative and Regulatory Measures.** Governments and regulatory bodies should enact legislation and regulations that promote the development and adoption of quantum-resistant and AI-secure digital identity systems.
- 12. International Collaboration.** The threats to digital identity are global concerns. International cooperation is vital for setting standards and ensuring the security of digital identity systems at a global scale.

AT THE CROSSROADS

The future of digital identity is at the crossroads, facing both immense potential and significant threats. Quantum computing and AI bring unprecedented challenges to the security and privacy of digital identity. However, through proactive measures, the adoption of quantum-resistant and AI-secure technologies, and enhanced awareness, we can navigate these threats and ensure that digital identity remains a robust and reliable tool in our increasingly digital lives.

As we move forward, it's essential to recognise that digital identity is not just a technological construct; it is a reflection of our digital existence. Safeguarding it is not just a matter of security; it's a matter of preserving our autonomy, privacy, and the integrity of our online personas.

By embracing the strategies outlined here, we can secure the future of digital identity and continue to benefit from the conveniences and opportunities that the digital world offers while safeguarding our digital selves.

GLOSSARY

This glossary covers essential terms and abbreviations in the fields of Quantum Computing, Post-Quantum Cryptography, Digital Identity, and Artificial Intelligence, providing a foundation for understanding these complex and interconnected topics.

QUANTUM COMPUTING:

1. **Qubit:** Quantum bit, the fundamental unit of quantum information. Unlike classical bits (0 or 1), qubits can exist in superposition, representing multiple states simultaneously.
2. **Entanglement:** A quantum phenomenon where the properties of two or more qubits become correlated, even when separated by large distances.
3. **Superposition:** A state in which a qubit exists in multiple states at once, enabling parallel computation.
4. **Quantum Gate:** An operator that manipulates qubits' states during quantum computation, such as the Hadamard gate or CNOT gate.
5. **Quantum Algorithm:** Algorithms specifically designed to leverage the computational advantages of quantum computers, like Shor's algorithm.

6. Shor's Algorithm: A quantum algorithm developed by Peter Shor for factoring large numbers efficiently, posing a threat to classical encryption methods.
7. Grover's Algorithm: A quantum search algorithm that can find an item in an unsorted database faster than classical algorithms.
8. Quantum Key Distribution (QKD): Secure communication method using quantum principles, like the BB84 protocol, to distribute cryptographic keys.

POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY:

1. PQC: Post-Quantum Cryptography, cryptographic methods designed to resist attacks by quantum computers.
2. Lattice-Based Cryptography: Cryptographic techniques based on lattice problems, a promising PQC approach.
3. Code-Based Cryptography: PQC method using error-correcting codes for encryption, making it resistant to quantum attacks.
4. Hash-Based Cryptography: PQC method relying on one-way hash functions for securing data against quantum attacks.
5. Multivariate Polynomial Cryptography: PQC approach based on the difficulty of solving systems of multivariate polynomial equations.
6. NIST: National Institute of Standards and Technology, leading the standardisation of PQC algorithms.

7. Round-3 Candidates: In NIST's PQC standardisation process, algorithms selected for further evaluation in the third round.

DIGITAL IDENTITY:

1. PII: Personally Identifiable Information, such as names, birthdates, and biometric data used in digital identity.
2. MFA: Multi-factor Authentication, a security method requiring multiple forms of verification, like something you know, have, or are.
3. SSI: Self-Sovereign Identity, a digital identity concept giving individuals control over their data and identity.
4. QKD: Quantum Key Distribution, a method for secure key exchange using quantum principles.
5. Biometrics: Unique physical or behavioural characteristics, like fingerprints or facial recognition, used for identity verification.
6. Zero-Knowledge Proof: A cryptographic method proving knowledge of a secret without revealing the secret itself.
7. Blockchain Identity: A tamper-resistant, decentralised approach to digital identity based on blockchain technology.

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE:

1. AI: Artificial Intelligence, the simulation of human intelligence by machines, including tasks like learning, reasoning, and problem-solving.
2. ML: Machine Learning, a subset of AI that uses algorithms and statistical models to enable systems to improve their performance.
3. DL: Deep Learning, a subset of ML using neural networks with multiple layers to process and analyse data.
4. NLP: Natural Language Processing, the AI field focusing on the interaction between computers and human language.
5. Computer Vision: AI technology enabling machines to interpret and understand visual information from the world.
6. Deepfake: AI-generated media, often videos or images, using deep learning techniques to manipulate content.
7. AI Ethics: The ethical considerations surrounding AI, including fairness, accountability, transparency, and bias mitigation.
8. ANNs: Artificial Neural Networks, computational models inspired by biological neural networks used in deep learning.
9. GANs: Generative Adversarial Networks, a class of deep learning models used in creating and refining data, including deepfakes.
10. IoT: Internet of Things, a network of interconnected devices and objects that collect and share data.

ADDENDUM: BRACING FOR A QUANTUM LEAP

In an era of unprecedented technological advancements, quantum computers stand as the harbinger of a revolutionary paradigm shift. As these powerful machines inch closer to reality, the impact they'll have on our digital world, especially in the realm of identity protection, cannot be understated. To delve into this pressing topic, we gathered a panel of esteemed experts for a roundtable discussion on quantum computers, post-quantum cryptography, and their profound effect on the identity market.

In this gripping addendum, we present the compelling transcript of this enlightening conversation, as our experts shed light on the potential threats, opportunities, and transformative solutions that will shape the future of identity protection in a quantum-powered world. Join us on this journey of exploration as we navigate through the uncharted territories of quantum computing and its implications for safeguarding our most valuable asset: our identities.

The following conversation was recorded between **Steve Atkins**, Program Director of the Silicon Trust and Infineon's **Robert Bach** and **Frank Ferrandino** at **Identity Week, Amsterdam** on the **14th June 2023**, during an expert's roundtable on **'Post Quantum Cryptography for Identity.'** This article is a transcript of the conversation that took place.

Some parts have been edited for clarity and reader continuation.

Steve Atkins First question. What is a quantum computer and what is driving its arrival?

Robert Bach Basically, a quantum computer is based on the principles of quantum physics. It was just recently that a couple of quantum computers came to the market. What is a quantum computer? A quantum computer does not work with classical bits but with quantum bits. With classical bits, you have one or zero, but with quantum bits, you have different kinds of situations.

I would say a quantum computer can run certain algorithms in a very, very fast way. It cannot completely replace a classical computer. It is not suited to calculate large numbers. But for certain problems, a quantum computer can be used for optimisation problems, like finding a way through Amsterdam from one area to the other and incorporating the traffic. A classical computer takes ages, but with a quantum computer, that would be much faster. You can use it for chemistry processes and optimisation.

However, there is also the possibility for hackers to use them for cryptanalysis. So with the right algorithms, you can start cracking cryptography. That's basically a quantum computer. You can do a lot of good things with them, but in the future, as soon as the quantum computer is powerful enough, you can do bad things as well.

Steve Atkins What's the driving force for them to be here and keep moving forward?

Robert Bach I would say one of the driving forces is certainly data-thirsty companies like, for instance, Uber. They need to deal with a huge amount of data located all around the world, everywhere, in some areas. And again, huge databases. Since we are in a time where everything is accelerated, we want to have instant information. We cannot accept that it sometimes takes too long to get some information.

For instance, on your GPS, looking for your direction, you need to pick the service that is the fastest possible. When you need to achieve this performance, this drives the need for faster computing processing. It's of strong benefit for individuals and users and so on. But it also comes along with threats, such as the capability to decrypt this type of secret information. We're not talking about ID or payment. It's even beyond that scope. It could be secret information that States need to keep confidential. It could be communication between countries. It could be digitally signed documents.

Steve Atkins Let's move on from there. What is post quantum cryptography?

Robert Bach I think it was the American NIST a couple of years ago, five, six years ago, in 2016, when they really thought quantum computers are a big threat, they started a competition to find cryptography that is safe and resistant against attacks by a quantum computer. So quantum-resistant cryptography. There was a competition, and hundreds of algorithms were started with the investigations. Last year, the first final round of candidates has been published after years of work on the algorithms. Please correct me if I tell something wrong, but the standardization of the algorithms itself is not yet finished. It takes time. I expect it is more or less the end of next year that the algorithms are more or less clear, which are safe against quantum computers. But then the trouble starts, at least for ID documents. Because the major problem with an ID document is, as a government, you take it, you bring it to the market, and it's out there for 10 years. So even if the quantum computer, which is powerful enough to crack such a document, comes in eight years, the government has a problem because the documents are out in the field, and nobody wants to withdraw the documents, usually.

So that's the reason why NIST started very early to develop this post-quantum cryptography. By the way, it should not be confused with

quantum cryptography. This is the cryptography you run on a quantum computer. Post-quantum cryptography is supposed to run on a document, on an ID card, with limited resources. It's not a server farm that is running, but it's in a standard ID card or healthcare card, whatever.

Frank Ferrandino Maybe two quick remarks on the standardisation process. First off, an interesting observation. The German Federal Institute for Information Security decided to standardise their own choice of algorithms in 2019 already, way before the process at NIST has been finished because they already anticipated that it might take some time, and they just wanted to be prepared. They chose two candidates, one of which is now just an optional one from the risk perspective, I believe. So, there is some movement in government entities that are way much more for the group.

The second observation is one made by theoretical computer scientists. We all know that asymmetric cryptography is not perfect in the sense that we can guarantee it's secure. But we have so much evidence for classical asymmetric cryptography like RSA and ECC that the consequence would be enormous for a lot of things, especially mathematics. That's not true for most of the candidates in this process. And as a consequence, maybe some of them have already been broken. So, it's much more difficult to assess and decide if one of these new protocols or toolkit mechanisms is actually secure. It's a big challenge.

Steve Atkins One of the elements that appears to have a great deal of relevance is time frame. How long before something was broken? How long before something is standardised? How long before quantum computers actually arrived? Let's talk a little bit about the time frame for all of this.

Frank Ferrandino Yes, you're right. New technology takes time to be adapted.

On that subject, I think as Robert mentioned, we expect, we hope, but we don't have any control over the timeframe. But at least we will come up with standardisation by the end of next year. For the moment, they have selected eight candidates, and four are optional. With the four that have been selected, you have two that are related to the key exchange, and two are related to digital sign up. But the adventure will only start, I would say, once the standard has arrived.

We need to analyse, design, and develop a new solution in the roadmap. And at the same time, I would say that the entire ecosystem will need to adopt this movement. Once the standard is published, we would expect to have some movement at the ICO level, for instance, because it's not that you have a standard that is going to be deployed at the ICO level. If they need to be, let's say, somehow more sustainable, more-or-less future-proof against quantum computer attacks, they need to work with 140 countries all together, deciding which one will need to be used for biometric passports.

And it's not only related to our small portion of the big system – which is the security chip – that goes inside the biometric passport, but it goes into the readers also. If you go with your brand-new quantum cryptographic ready passport and you want to cross certain borders, are their systems ready? Can they talk together? Can they communicate? Can they exchange keys and can they perform authentication? That's a new topic and a different story. And this is what will take a lot of time. What could accelerate the technology adoption is the threat and proven attacks on existing security. And for this, I think Robert and I agree that it's hard to foresee. Some are saying the first attack on quantum computers will be in 2025, some are saying 2030, some 2033. I don't know how they define these dates, but you need a crystal ball at this moment.

Steve Atkins Actually, you told me something interesting yesterday; The German government doesn't think it's going to happen...

Robert Bach Currently, the BSI is a little bit more careful. Other experts say it might happen next year because it all depends on the evolution of how fast these quantum computers evolve. If there's one guy with a technical solution on how to build a quantum computer, perhaps a tiny bit better, then it could happen next year already. But we might even have five years. Maybe we have 10 years or maybe 15 years. Nobody knows. It's really all about scalability.

There are very different physical approaches on how to actually make a good quantum computer. And some of them might be easily scalable, others not so much. It really depends on which technology works for us.

The knowledge has been there for a while. I was reading that there was an algorithm that was already created and invented in 1996. This is the algorithm that can potentially be used for hacking cryptography and is currently in use. What you can do, as a hacker, is just go to Microsoft, Amazon, Google, IBM, and rent a quantum computer. You can already do it today.

Steve Atkins Coming back to standardisation. I can't imagine people like RSA really jumping into this subject quickly. Are there going to be different standardisation bodies because this is a different area? Who are the standardisation bodies that you think are going to be out there?

Frank Ferrandino It's a good question. First, I will say NIST, the US National Institute of Standardisation and Technology. This is one of them. Maybe they are at the forefront of this selection of algorithms. We, as a security chip provider, also work a lot with the common criteria, with external labs like ViVA, among others, and we use neutral external labs where we are proving that our products are secure. So, it's beyond standardisation. It's the common criteria that needs to think about the new challenges. So, this is a challenge for each and every player in this security ecosystem. At the same time, it's offering opportunities for adapting to this new situation.

But you're still going to have physical things to do. You're still going to have physical attacks. It has to encompass everything.

We need to pay attention to the new attacks, but also bear in mind that our product needs to resist the old attacks. So, you need some crypto agility. We talked about this concept. It's fantastic. You are implementing a Dilithium or Kyber or Lattice-based crypto with your chip and can also sustain all existing attacks that are already known in the new environment. This is a challenge for the production and the designer. From an evaluation point of view, I would guess that the algorithms have to be safe.

Steve Atkins Do you see from an implementation perspective, new risks related to (for example) side channel analysis, because of this new complexity? That the actual implementation could be more vulnerable?

Frank Ferrandino The answer is unfortunately a clear 'yes.' That would be a challenge because it took us 20 years to really work on the RSA or the curve implementations to really make them secure and then have them tested against all attacks. The new post-quantum algorithms might be good against quantum computers, but against classical attacks, this will be a real learning cycle that we need to adapt to. And not only side channel attacks. We will see attacks on the new algorithms, and nobody really knows how much time it takes to really get that clean. Because it doesn't work if the algorithm helps against the quantum computer, but you can take a standard computer and crack it in a standard attack or maybe even combine the text where you have the partial knowledge about the case and then leverage the side-channel text.

At our level, what I can say is that we also need to adhere to our boundary conditions in this very specific identity application – primarily, we are limited by power. The amount of power we are getting to in the chips is extremely limited. So we are working on a

low-power device. We are also limited by space. In terms of memory, let's say RAM computing and CPU, we are using and implementing more. This, in turn, will require more resources and security in terms of RAM and memory consumption.

Robert Bach I'd just like to circle back a little bit. Really, in terms of physical components, quantum computing is a threat, but it's a real threat to things like digital signatures.

Let's give you two examples. If you have a passport or an ID card, there are a couple of protocols inside which should protect and ensure that no hacker can listen to the conversation between the card and the reader. There's a pace protocol, partial protocol, which of course, as a hacker, you can try to attack and that's a risk to the user, definitely. But that's not the biggest catastrophe that can happen. What happens if you have an ID card with a signature? You can track that signature without even having the document in hand, and then you can re-use the identity of a person in the digital space. The quantum computer does not do a physical attack on the chip. It's okay if you just have the public key and try to retrieve the secret key with a quantum computer. That's one of the main risks in the documents. Consequently, the hacker can use this new identity to create physical damage. They can create a fake ID and use it to contract a loan, cross a border, or whatever.

Steve Atkins So, then the growth of digital identity wallets could be a significant area for attack? Do you see them being threatened by quantum computing attacks?

Frank Ferrandino I think one difference is that they are at least easily updated compared to physical documents in the field. Of course, technically it can be done. It might be just a nightmare to do so. It might be cheaper to just recollect the documents and reuse them on a mobile phone that tends to be online. At least, you can more easily update or exchange the two of them.

What we see is that it brings convenience. Digital identity wallet, ID in the cloud, virtual ID – the capabilities to prove your identity without using physical ID cards. But we see more, ideally, the complementarity of usage. So you need an ID document which is issued by a government where security is effectively secured. And for, let's say, the digital identity wallet and so on, we need to adapt to because they are becoming part of this ecosystem. So they will need to adapt to the new situation.

And of course, updatability is a question mark and security is a question mark. So how it is, let's say, about design. It's anticipated that it is inbuilt and updatable to circumvent future attacks. But it's also a question for us. We talk a lot at this moment about the in-field update because we know once the security chip is released in the field, you cannot modify anything.

And now you are opening the door to the question of risk. About having the possibility to change the security, the possibility to deinstall and reinstall certain data? And there is also the topics of standardisation at the moment. I know there are proprietary solutions here and there, but we need to offer this to our customers to solve their problems in a more standardised way.

Steve Atkins What would an organisation have to do to take their operations to this higher level of security? To guard against this kind of attack?

Robert Bach I think a lot of governments have started to become aware of the topic. As you said, some of the security institutions like BSI or RNSSI in France are quite aware. Governments hear more and more about this subject. But knowing a topic and starting to act on it, that is really a huge step.

Currently, governments do not react or act on the topic; they're not yet in the preparation phase. What we believe, even if standardisation is finished and your ICO protocols are defined, how do they roll out a new ID card? You cannot say, "Tomorrow, I will switch on quantum secure to post-quantum cryptography." It doesn't work if you take your passport and then go to another country, say from the Netherlands (where they perhaps have used post-quantum cryptography in their documents) to France, where perhaps it's not accepted because they don't understand the new security updates.

The same goes for national projects, national ID cards or so, where you first have to update the infrastructure as well. And in the worst-case scenario, because these cross-quantum algorithms are so new, you have to run it in a hybrid way that you bring out documents, integrate the classical cryptography as of today, implement the new version, and then at a later stage, decide to switch on the new security level.

This needs preparation in terms of when the next tender is coming out, what is my upgrade cycle in the infrastructure, what are my time plans, and so on. If you don't start preparing now, it might then be too late.

Indeed, this hybrid approach is one of the most discussed ones, especially in terms of the client's structure of issuing certificates that can be based on classical algorithms as well as the cost of timing. You can run into a lot of different problems. So let's say one of these mechanisms is broken, do you go through the complete certification, how do you embed it, and if governments only use one of these solutions, then it's not a fully secured solution. There is no easy complete solution, so finally you end up with a hybrid solution.

Steve Atkins I still can't decide whether quantum computing should be seen as a revolution or simply an evolution. And I sometimes wonder if some of these companies and governments can't decide either. So, if it's an evolution, they will just add to their current security

process. For a revolution, they have to think completely differently. That is then a completely new level of standardisation, a completely new set of protocols and procedures that they have to develop. It took years before we went from criteria 4 to 6, and if we look at something like that again, it's going to take a long time.

Robert Bach To complement what you are saying, technology is moving faster than government decisions. Governments together take a long time to decide. There is a political time which is much longer, and there is a technology time which is much faster. To add to that, what we are observing now is Europe, where they are completely behind when it comes to artificial intelligence. So instead of having companies or people that are generating AI and working actively on it, we are more tempted to regulate things that are coming from elsewhere.

Frank Ferrandino Yeah, Europe is much better at regulating other continents. So, regulation, by putting rules in place, instead of embracing the technological movement and contributing to and benefiting from it. It will take a while before common sense sees there is a need for a better arrangement. What I foresee is that for political, soft power reasons, you will have early adopters that will want to showcase that they are the first. It's a different behaviour. It's more human and emotional. It's not rational. It's not about technological implementation or a conservative approach to analyse, define the process, and then engineer the stuff. Rather it will be, "Okay, we want to be the first to launch post-quantum cryptography identity documents." This is what I've seen in my discussions with some governments, having this vision from the top.

Steve Atkins These days, the financial sector would appear to have more push in terms of innovation than in governmental sectors. They have both the financial resources and the need to innovate as quickly as possible. They are the new risk takers. What are your thoughts?

Robert Bach It's a good point. What I saw is that there is a payment card association that published a white paper on this topic. Surprisingly, they have a conservative approach, and they suggest a step-by-step implementation, and their recommendation is to go to AES first. Then once things are getting more mature, jump to the next step. But their recommendation is to jump to a higher security with what is currently existing today.

Frank Ferrandino They are in a lucky situation that payment cards are out there for three years, and then they're out of the field. That's a complete difference from government documents. The payment industry works on a far shorter time frame. They are on a shorter time frame and also in terms of transactions, the transaction is very fast. There's a certain threshold to meet. When it comes to contactless payments, we're talking about 300 milliseconds.

In the payment industry, when you look at fraud and you have an attacker, it's the single cards or transactions. It's not so interesting. What is interesting is something on a larger scale. What you observe, for instance, in France with the payment card, the Carte Bleu, is that it is not fraud – it's more identity theft. This identity theft then generates illegal payment transactions. I think the banks there need to pay very close attention to their KYC (Know Your Customer). Some of them are not so vigilant, like new online banks, who want to generate business very quickly. So they've been somewhat less careful with the KYC, meaning there have been significant transactions that were not legal. And they're generating losses for the bank.

Robert Bach Maybe this is something I think governments underestimate. There's another point in ID; it's not just the practical danger of the hack, but the theoretical as well. What do I mean by that? Every ID document given out is certified. The hardware is certified, the operating system is certified, everything is certified. But if you now find an attack, just going to one tiny little piece, the algorithm, the RSA, then the whole product loses the certification. So what do you do as a

government then, to give out new ID cards? You cannot give out the existing product. It's not certified anymore. It wouldn't work.

So, you try to reduce uncertainty. So okay, you pass certain tests and you receive a certificate. Once you achieve that, you're, of course, very proud that your product is secure as well. But it is secure against a certain list of predefined attacks – named in the certification profile. And with this certificate profile, you try to reduce uncertainty and pre-anticipate the list. But over time, new attacks are coming out, and it's not necessarily anticipated and ready in the security profile. This agility and flexibility are very challenging because you can have a certificate against certain attacks, but there is no 100% proven security. Even if we go for the best of the best we can, there is no system that is 100 % secure against quantum attacks.

Frank Ferrandino It's not related to identity documents or identity solutions. There is no required monitoring on the product. It's a national regulation that requires monitoring. I think in France, there is monitoring on a manual basis for ID documents. But for other countries, it's a checkbox requirement. That's true. Other countries, there are a couple that are monitored or regularly reassessed, such as France and Germany and others. But there is no pan-European regulation for ID document monitoring.

There are, however, different qualities of certification. Obviously, in France, there are NHSI, NSSI, Agence Nationale, etc. They are extremely strong and demanding. BSI in Germany is the same. But if you certify in another country, maybe you get a little bit of tiny difference that makes you pass with your product. But if it would be in a more demanding environment, it does not pass. But at least with quantum computers, it's quite easy.

We do not know when the quantum computer is powerful enough and has enough stable qubits, but we know once it's there, then it's very clear the algorithms are hacked and cannot be used anymore. But then

it's not evolutionary like with the old algorithms; then it's really revolutionary. Yeah, to answer your question, Steve, it will be more revolution. Yes, governments are used to this evolutionary step. Each year they need to go from 1K to 1.5K. Now 2K RSA, 4K RSA. But with the quantum computer, there will be a switch at a certain point in time.

Steve Atkins Looking at ID from a tangent – what about the Internet of Things? This ecosystem needs to be secured, and an attack here can be far more personally invasive. But it always seems to be a battle between convenience and security on very separate devices. Do you have any thoughts on this as we begin to see ID offered on different devices too?

Frank Ferrandino It's not related to identity documents or identity solutions. There is no required monitoring on the product. It's a national regulation that requires monitoring. I think in France, there is monitoring on a manual basis for ID documents. But for other countries, it's a checkbox requirement. That's true. Other countries, there are a couple that are monitored or regularly reassessed, such as France and Germany and others. But there is no pan-European regulation for ID document monitoring.

There are, however, different qualities of certification. Obviously, in France, there are NHSI, they are NSSI, Agence Nationale, etc. They are extremely strong and demanding. BSI in Germany is the same. But if you certify in another country, maybe you get a little bit of tiny difference that makes you pass with your product. But if it would be in a more demanding environment, it does not pass.

But at least with quantum computers, it's quite easy.

We do not know when the quantum computer is powerful enough and has enough stable qubits, but we know once it's there, then it's very clear the algorithms are hacked and cannot be used anymore. But then it's not evolutionary like with the old algorithms; then it's really

revolutionary. Yeah, to answer your question, Steve, it will be more revolution. Yes, governments are used to this evolutionary step. Each year they need to go from 1K to 1.5K. Now 2K RSA, 4K RSA. But with the quantum computer, there will be a switch at a certain point in time.

Steve Atkins Looking at ID from a tangent – what about the Internet of Things? This ecosystem needs to be secured, and an attack here can be far more personally invasive. But it always seems to be a battle between convenience and security on very separate devices. Do you have any thoughts on this as we begin to see ID offered on different devices too?

Frank Ferrandino Oh, good question! We don't always consider IoT security. In IoT, you have connected objects that communicate together. When you have providers, with new usage, the developers offer a use case that brings a benefit that is based on convenience first. Then they think later about security. This is exactly what happened in the Internet of Things. You connect objects together; it brings some benefits. You have your "Hey Google" at home. You have, let's say, baby phones that you put in the room of the kids, but then hackers can use them to spy on you and so on. These are connected. So, you think about the user bringing this service to individuals, but you don't think at the beginning of the development process about security. Security comes after, later, and it's not pre-embedded in the design of the new application right from the beginning.

This is it because it's not actually in the entire process. Everybody has their own little bit of hardware, little bit of software, etc. And then you try to add security by software. And then they have to work together to make it. There is a certain level of security, but it's more the convenience usage that is the main benefit offering in the application.

Robert Bach Which gets us back to implementing those quantum-secure algorithms on different devices. Again, I'd say it's maybe easier on a mobile phone just because you have more resources available. But

then again, if you look at a high Evaluation Assurance Level, it mostly requires secure hardware again, and we are back to a smartwatch or an ID document type of environment. And there it's much harder to implement the current candidates for quantum crypto. Very simply, if you take any look at any document outside in the world, there's no chip outside today that would be capable of running post-quantum cryptography.

Not a single chip. The reason is quite simple. The resourcefulness of the chip is not big enough. Yes, you can run the post-quantum cryptography, but then a transaction at the border will take 30 seconds and not three seconds. And you don't want 30 seconds at the border. So there needs to be a change in the hardware. And this also applies to the IRIS wallet implementations, at least if you look at the higher security assurance because then you need to have some secure hardware and not only rely on the phone, and then you have the same constraints.

We have developed a first product, but it's more on the TPM side. It's just a platform module that goes into a computer. It's called OPTICA, and we have implemented a post-quantum security for camera update, which relies on XMSS. This is already available, but that's only for a few more updates in case administrators want to update.

Technically, it's possible to develop a chip running post-quantum cryptography. The products or the silicon would be there to implement, but there's nothing out yet in the market. Technical demonstrations? Yes. Rollout or even just a pilot? Not yet. It's still very far out.

Steve Atkins What I've taken away from this so far, if that's correct, is that quantum cryptography is coming. When, no one is sure, but it is coming. And the current system approach is not going to be sustainable for that. Secondly, quantum computing will affect things beyond documents. It's going to be digital signatures. It's going to be identity at the data level, not necessarily just the actual hardware level.

Thirdly, the transition is going to take time and adoption, so start thinking now, and plan on how to take preparations. Anybody like to add anything else?

Robert Bach It's a pretty good summary.



ABOUT THE AUTHOR

As well as being the CEO of Krowne Communications, Steve Atkins is also the Program Director for the Silicon Trust and Editor of the VAULT magazine (covering hardware-based IC security, biometrics, contactless, blockchain and cloud-based technologies). Even with almost 35 years of experience in the high-tech industry, he is still fascinated with all kinds of technology and the impact it has upon end users. He is currently based in Berlin, Germany.



© Silicon Trust 2023
© Krowne Communications GmbH 2023